## INTERNATIONALSEARCHREPORT

International application No. PCT/JP2005/019456

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER					
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int.Cl. H01L21/268 (2006, 01)					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC  B. FIELDS SEARCHED					
	umentation searched (classification system followed by	(classification symbols)			
	L21/268 (2006.01)	classification symbols)			
	·				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Published examined utility model applications of Japan 1922-1996 Published unexamined utility model applications of Japan 1971-2006 Registered utility model applications of Japan 1996-2006 Published registered utility model applications of Japan 1994-2006					
Electronic data	base consulted during the international search (name of	data base and, where practicable, search term	s used)		
C. DOCUME	NTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a	opropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
5	US 2004/0087118 A1 (RENESAS 2004.05.06, the whole document & JP: 9,Claim 14, [0006], [0019], [0039 2004040326 A	2004-158627 A Claim 1,Claim	1,3,2,4,5·9,10·13,1 4·19		
1	JP 2001-338894 A (MATSUSHITA 2001.12.07, Claim 1-Claim 6, [0007], [0032] (Family None)		1,3,2,4,5-9,10-13,1 4-19		
	WO 2004/050291 A1 (HAMAMATSU P the whole document & JP 3683580 B2 [0043] & AU 2003289188 A1	· 1	1,3,2,4,5-9,10-13,1 4-19		
	WO 2002/022301 A1 (HAMAMATSU P the whole document & AU 200186227		1,3,2,4,5·9,10·13,1 4·19		
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.					
Special categories of cited documents:  "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the inter-					
national fill 'L" document is cited to	ing date which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which establish the publication date of another citation or other	be considered novel or cannot be inventive step when the document is	considered to involve an taken alone		
special reason (as specified)  'O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  'P" document published prior to the international filing date but later		be considered to involve an inventive combined with one or more oth combination being obvious to a perso	e step when the document is ler such documents, such on skilled in the art		
than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family					
Date of the actual completion of the international search  04.01.2006		Date of mailing of the international sea	· · · · ·		
Name and mail	ling address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer			
Japan Patent Office		Koichi Kato	4M 8617		
-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext. 3462			xt. 3462		
			0.102		

## INTERNATIONALSEARCHREPORT

International application No. PCT/JP2005/019456

		FC1/0P2	2005/019456	
C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.	
	1338371 A & US 2004/0002199 A1 & CN 1473087 A			
X	JP 2004-165436 A (ROHM CO LTD) 2004.06.10	, the whole	1,3,2,4,5-9,10-13,	
	document (Family None)		14-19	
ľ				
			·	
1				
ĺ				
		•		
j				
	·			
	/210 (continuation of second cheet) (April 2005)			

## INTERNATIONALSEARCHREPORT

International application No. PCT/JP2005/019456

Box No. II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)			
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:				
	aims Nos.: cause they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:			
bec	tims Nos.: cause they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an ent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:			
	ims Nos.: cause they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).			
Box No. III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)			
	tional Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:			
	all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable ms.			
	all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of itional fees.			
	only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers y those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:			
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:				
Remark on	Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.			
	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.			
	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.			

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/019456

The separate inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept for the following reasons:

The same or corresponding matters between the inventions of claims Nos. 1-19 are "irradiating an object with the laser beam which generates a multiphoton absorption"

However, after taking the prior art into consideration, it became apparent that the matters were mentioned in a document US 2004/0087118 A1 (RENESAS TECHNOLOGY CORP) 2004.05.06, the whole document, JP 2001-338894 A (MATSUSHITA DENKI SANGYO KK) 2001.12.07, Claim 1, Claim 4, [0012], [0026], [0030], [0032], WO 2004/050291 A1 (HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS KK) 2004.06.17, the whole document, WO 2002/022301 A1 (HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS KK) 2002.03.31, the whole document, JP 2004-165436 A (ROHM CO LTD) 2004.06.10, the whole document. Therefore, the matters makes no contribution over the prior art.

Consequently, there is no same or corresponding "special technical features" which is the expression in the PCT Rule 13.2. Therefore, there is no technical relationship between the inventions of claims Nos. [1,3], [2,4], [5-9], [10-13], and [14-19].